THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE C.V. RAMULU

WRIT PETITION NO.2310 OF 1998

DATED: 12-6-2007

Between:

Mohd. Gousuddin

.. Petitioner

and

A.P. State Road Transport Corporation, represented by its Managing Director, Mushirabad, Hyderabad and others.

.. Respondents

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE C.V. RAMULU

WRIT PETITION NO.2310 OF 1998

ORDER:

This writ petition is filed questioning the action of the 3rd respondent in issuing the proceedings, dated 30.09.1996, inflicting the punishment of reducing the pay by three incremental stages permanently on the petitioner, which were confirmed by the 2nd respondent by his proceedings, dated 20.06.1997.

It appears, the petitioner, while serving the 1st respondent-Corporation as Assistant Depot Clerk in the year 1994, alleged to have made certain false allegations against the depot officials viz., Depot Manager, Chief Inspector, S.R. Traffic Inspector and Traffic Inspectors of Falaknuma, through his letters, dated 10.10.1994, 17.10.1994, 04.11.1994, 22.12.1994 and 18.04.1995 and indulged in an act of indiscipline by criticizing the administration of Regional Manager (HCR). After conducting a detailed enquiry into the matter, the 3rd respondent passed an order, dated 30.09.1996, imposing a punishment of reducing the pay of the petitioner by three incremental stages permanently. When the said order was challenged by the petitioner before the 2nd respondent-appellate authority, the 2nd respondent- appellate authority confirmed the said punishment, by his order dated 20.06.1997. Aggrieved by the same, the present writ petition is filed.

Respondents filed a counter-affidavit denying the allegations made by the petitioner.

Heard both sides. Perused the impugned order and other material made available on record.

On perusal of the order of the 3rd respondent- primary authority, I am of the opinion that the 3rd respondent had passed a very detailed and reasoned order, based on the evidence on record, and rightly imposed the punishment of reducing the pay of the petitioner by three incremental stages permanently, which was rightly confirmed by the 2nd respondent- appellate authority. No grounds are made out to interfere with such reasoned orders passed by the 2nd and 3rd respondents, under Article 226 of the Constitution of India. The writ petition is devoid of merits and is liable to be dismissed.

The writ petition is accordingly dismissed. No costs.

C.V. RAMULU, J

12th June, 2007.
IBL